

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/048,026	1	03/26/1998	KANJI UCHINO	826.1482/JDH	3866
21171	7590	02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I	HALSEY	LLP	PAULA, CESAR B		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK AV	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2178	-
				DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/048,026	UCHINO ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CESAR B PAULA	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension					
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offici	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	originally set in the final Office action; or					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 8-10, 16-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Storyk					
		STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner disagrees with applicants' statement with respect to claim 1, that Bailey is silent about displaying a title together with keywords(p.9,lines20-23). First of all claim 1 does not recite the display of a title together with keywords, it rather recite the display of a title and keywords in areas related to each othe (lines 14-17). Howbeit, Bailey teaches the display of the entire bodiy of an email message, which contains keywords (fig.3,col.2, lines 44-67), such as the keywords in the body of email messaes used by Knowles to correlate these messages (col.1, lines 63-67, col.2, lines 1-37, col.3, lines27-36).

Moreover, applicants indicate that Bailey does not discuss extracting and displaying keywords from the body of the message (p.9, 9,lines 28-30). The examiner disagrees, because the extraction of keywords for performing statistical analysis on such keywords, is taugh by Knowles (col.1,lines 63-67, col.2,lines 1-37, and col.3,lines27-36). As stated above Bailey teaches the display of the body of email messages, including all keywords.enclosed in such messages, and used by Knowles to perform the statistical analysis.

Moreover, applicants point out that there seems to be a misunderstanding about the differences between a subject line of an email message, and the body of such message (p.10, lines17-28). The examiner disagrees, because Bailey refers to the display of the entire body of an email message (fig.3, pane 54). It's clear from fig.3 that what's being displayed in pane 54 is not a separate subject line, and body of the email message, but rather it is the display of a single cohesive email body.

Claims 2-, 8-10, 16-17 are rejected at least based on the same rationale stated above regarding claim 1...